9315 PW

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS. Secrt. II-28 —60,000—31-10-50.

(195/) Department.

Closed

Endorsement
D.O.
Memorandum
Lodged

Orgt to Mysore
State
Bowdoin
Supdt

No. 1941 14/51-

Dated 8-6-195 1.

FURTHER PAPERS.

Department.	Number.	Series.	Date.

VERY URGENT

From
H.Seshagiri Rao, B.E.,
Assistant Engineer, Highways,
BELLARY.





To
The Chief Engineer,
Highways,
MADRAS.

Subj:- Posting of Divisional Engineer Highways, Bellary.

Sir,

I understand that Sri. P. Veerinh Stands posted as Divisional Engineer in place of Sri. H.S.Srouty, Divisional Engineer (H) here. I am constrained to submit that it will be very defficult for me to work under an officer who is junior to me and hence I request you to kxx have a senior officer posted here.

Yours faithfully,

2.5.51

Copy submitted to the Secretary Government of Madras, P.W.D.

Copy submitted through proper channel

This is only an advance copy. No action is called for. May be lodged.

9.5.51

9-5-51

10

3

CONFIDENTIAL

P.W.D(M)

Memo No. 19414/51-1 dt 15-5-57

Sub: Establishment - Highways Department - Posting of Divisional Engineer(H) for Bellary.

Ref; From Sri.M.Seshagiri Rao, A.E(H), Bellary, letter dated 7-5-51.

The attention of the C.E(H) is invited to the letter cited (copy enclosed) and he is requested to ~~offer his remarks very urgently~~. State what action he proposes to take against the AE.

15-5-57

To: The C.E(H)
with a copy of p. 1 c.f.

16.5.51

16.5.51

5

"CONFIDENTIAL"

FROM
SRI M.S.THIRUMALE IYENGAR
B.E., I.S.E.,
Chief Engineer (Highways)
Chepauk, Madras.5.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Madras.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT JUN 1951 2236

Letter No.26563/A2/51-1 dated 2--6--1951.

Sir,

Sub: Establishment - Assistant Engineers - posting of a senior Divisional Engineer (H) for Bellary representation from Sri M.Seshagiri Rao, Assistant Engineer (H) Bellary.

Ref: Government Memo No.1941/M/51-1 PWD dated 15-5-51

I do not propose to take any action against the Assistant Engineer except warning him that he should not have sent a copy of his representation to the Government direct. I am also informing him that he will have to remain in Bellary and serve under the new ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ Divisional Engineer.

Chief Engineer (Highways)

1941/M

1

P.W.D(M)

Sub: Highways Department - Establishment - Posting of Divisional Engineer(H) for Bellary.

Ref: From Sri M.Seshagiri Rao, A.E(H), Bellary letter dated 7-5-51.

Please see the letter from Sri. M. Seshagiri Rao, Assistant Engineer(H), Bellary at p. 1 cf. In G.O.No. 1046 P.W. dated 15-3-51, Sri.P. Veeriah, Divisional Engineer(H), Guntur South Division, Ongole, has been transferred to the Bellary Division. Sri. M. Seshagiri Rao, Assistant Engineer(H), Bellary now states that as Sri. Veeriah is junior to him, it will be very difficult for him to work under him. He therefore requests that a senior officer may be posted to the Bellary Division.

2 The point for consideration is whether a subordinate officer can say that he will find difficult to work under an officer and to suggest the posting of another officer in his place. Perhaps the remarks of the C.E(H) may be called for in the first instance and the question whether any disciplinary action should be taken against the Asst.Engineer may be examined after the C.E's remarks are received.

The AE has gone far beyond his limits in sending the communication at p-1 cf to Govt.

3 A draft memo is submitted for approval.

14.5.51.

15-5-51

15-5-51

Issued as Memo No 1941/M/51-1 dt/15.5.51

From the C.E(H) 26.5.53 A2/514 dt 2.6.53

2

P.W.D(M)

In G.O.No. 1046 P.W. dated 15-3-51, Sri. P. Veeriah, Divisional Engineer(H) Guntur South Division, Ongole was transferred to the Bellary Division. In his letter dated 7-5-51 (addressed to the C.E - copy sent to Govt) Sri. M. Seshagiri Rao, Assistant Engineer(H) Bellary has stated that as Sri. Veeriah is junior to him, it will be very difficult for him to work under him. He has therefore suggested that a senior officer may be posted to the Bellary Division. This Dept. has held the view that the Assistant Engineer has gone far beyond his limits in sending the communication at p. 1 c.f. to the Government. The C.E(H) was therefore asked to state what action he proposes to take against the Assistant Engineer. The C.E(H) has now reported that he does not propose to take any action against the Assistant Engineer except warning him that he should not have sent a copy of his representation to the Govt. direct. He also proposes to inform the Assistant Engineer that he will have to remain in Bellary and serve under the new Divisional Engineer. In the circumstances, it is for consideration whether further action will be dropped.

5.6.51.

5.6.51

The action proposed to be taken by CE (H) at p-5 c.f. seems sufficient. Human nature being what it is, the A.E.'s letter at p-1 c.f. may perhaps be ignored now, and further action may be dropped.

7-6-57

8/6/57